



# 2024 (Vol. 28)



## FOUNDERS:

“Ore & Metals” Publishing house  
Nosov Magnitogorsk State Technical University

## PUBLISHER:

“Ore & Metals” Publishing house

Established in 2006.

The “CIS Iron and Steel Review” journal is registered in the Russian Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technology, and Mass Media for Compliance with the Law in Mass Communications and Cultural Heritage Protection (Certificate PI No. FS77-73962 dated 19.10.2018)

Trade mark and the title “CIS Iron and Steel Review” are exclusively property of “Ore and Metals” Publishing House

The official information edition of the Federal Curricular Union “Technologies of Materials”

## EDITORIAL BOARD

*Chief editor:* **Tsirulnikov Evgeny**

*Deputy Chief Editors:* **Vorobiev Alexandr, Kosyrev Konstantin**

*Members of Editorial Board:* Belenky Anatoly (Russia), Bleck Wolfgang (Germany), Bobarikin Yuriy (Belarus), Boriskin Oleg (Russia), Butorina Irina (Russia), Chichenev Nikolay (Russia), Chukin Mikhail (Russia), Chumanov Ilya (Russia), Elanskiy Dmitriy (Russia), Galkin Sergey (Russia), Goli-Oglu Evgeny (Denmark), Gordon Yakov (Canada), Illarionov Ilya (Russia), Kaputkina Lyudmila (Russia), Kazakov Alexandr (Russia), Kharchenko Alexandr (Russia), Kolikov Alexandr (Russia), Kolokoltsev Valeriy (Russia), Konovalov Sergey (Russia), Korchunov Alexey (Russia), Kushnarev Alexey (Russia), Leushin Igor (Russia), Matveeva Tamara (Russia), Mazur Igor (Russia), Muntin Alexandr (Russia), Pelevin Alexey (Russia), Potravny Ivan (Russia), Savenok Anatoliy (Belarus), Scheller Piotr (Germany), Serebryakov Andrey (Russia), Shapovalov Alexey (Russia), Sultanguzin Ildar (Russia), Travyanov Andrey (Russia), Volynkina Ekaterina (Russia), Vydrin Alexandr (Russia), Yatsenko Elena (Russia), Zyuban Nikolay (Russia)

## EDITORIAL STAFF

*Responsible Secretary:* **Rakhmanova Elena**

Based on the decision of Supreme Attestation Commission of Russian Federation of RF Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the journal “CIS Iron and Steel Review” is included in the “List of reviewed scientific editions for publishing the main scientific results of candidate and doctoral dissertations with the following scientific specialities:

2.6.1. Metal science and heat treatment of metals and alloys; 2.6.2. Metallurgy of ferrous, non-ferrous and rare metals; 2.6.3. Casting and foundry production; 2.6.4. Metal forming; 2.6.5. Powder metallurgy and composite materials; 2.6.9. Technology of electrochemical processes and corrosion protection; 2.6.13. Processes and machines in chemical technologies; 2.6.17. Materials science; 2.8.9. Mineral processing.

## EDITORIAL ADDRESS

Actual address: Moscow, Leninskiy prospekt 6, bld. 2, office 617

Mailing address: P.O. Box No. 71, Moscow, 119049, Russia

Phone/fax: +7-495-638-4518, +7-495-955-0175

E-mail: [chermet@rudmet.ru](mailto:chermet@rudmet.ru); [tsirulnikov@rudmet.ru](mailto:tsirulnikov@rudmet.ru)

Web-site: [www.rudmet.ru](http://www.rudmet.ru)

Computer design and make-up by E. M. Ivanova

Volume: 13,5 printer’s sheets (108 pages)

Printed: 24.12.2024 by “Kancler” Printing house

Circulation: 20 copies. Open price

© Design and make-up. AO “Издательский дом “Руда и Металлы”,  
“CIS Iron & Steel Review”, 2024

© Cover picture: Tsirulnikov Evgeny

All rights reserved. This publication or its parts may not be reproduced in any form without written permission of the publisher.

Reference to “CIS Iron and Steel Review” is strictly required in the case of any reproduction.

Advertisers are responsible for reliability of advertising information.

Authors are responsible for reliability of scientific and technical information.

“Реклама” note means that material is published as sponsored statement (advertisement).

ISSN 2072-0815



## CONTENTS

### **Preparation of Raw Materials**

- M. I. Dli, V. A. Bobkov, A. A. Bykov, N. S. Kulyasov.* Experimental research of the regularities of iron-bearing ore desulfurization for the temperature conditions of liquid phase sintering ..... 4

### **Sintering**

- A. B. Lebedev.* Pelletizing of ferruginous quartzites with red mud addition and heat treatment of obtained pellets in carbon monoxide atmosphere ..... 9

### **Ironmaking**

- A. N. Shapovalov, R. R. Dema, R. N. Amirov, O. R. Latypov.* The influence of parameters of slag conditions on blast furnace smelting performance and cast iron quality ..... 15

### **Ferroalloys**

- Sv. S. Kvon, A. Z. Isagulov, V. Yu. Kulikov, S. K. Arinova.* The use of ferroalloys for the smelting of a quasi-high-entropy alloy ..... 21
- M. Kh. Ziatdinov.* Thermally coupled combustion synthesis of composite ferroalloys ..... 26

### **Casting and Foundry**

- L. M. Gurevich, N. A. Kidalov, I. N. Zakharov, A. S. Adamova.* On validity of the value of tensile strength of dry moulding and core mixtures ..... 33

### **Rolling**

- A. G. Zinyagin, D. A. Brayko, A. V. Muntin, P. Yu. Zhikharev.* Low carbon steel CCT diagram prediction using machine learning ..... 39

### **Heat Treatment**

- E. A. Pismennyi, A. M. Markov, A. I. Augstkaln, V. B. Deev.* Optimization of heat treatment process for cast steel 50SL ..... 46
- V. V. Strokova, A. Yu. Ryazanova, P. S. Baskakov, A. V. Abzalilova.* Evaluation of possibility of using polysiloxane water emulsion as quenching media for metal heat treatment ..... 52

## **Production of Rails**

- A. I. Karlina, V. V. Kondratyev, V. E. Gozbenko, R. V. Kononenko.* Study of hardness distribution in a rail welded joint after welding with accelerated cooling ..... 56

## **Metal Science**

- P. P. Poletskov, N. V. Koptseva, Yu. Yu. Efimova, A. S. Kuznetsova.* Development and research of forming the properties in cold resistant steels with strength class not less than 950 MPa for the components of heavy carrying and lifting machines ..... 63
- N. O. Shaposhnikov, O. V. Shvetsov, B. S. Ermakov, S. A. Vologzhanina.* Influence of metal structural heterogeneity in a sheet tube billet on forming mechanical properties and crack resistance of steel pipes for operation in the Arctic climate conditions ..... 69

## **Metal Science and Metal Physics**

- A. Yu. Kuzkin, N. V. Martyushev, V. S. Tynchenko, T. A. Panfilova, Xiajgang Wu.* Viscoplastic properties of chromium-nickel steel in short-term creep under constant stress. Part 2 ..... 75

## **Coating Application and Corrosion Protection**

- E. E. Bobylyov, E. G. Sokolov, A. D. Nirov, I. D. Storozhenko.* Corrosion protection of steel 30KhGSN2A in hydrogen sulphide-containing media using diffusion nickel coatings ..... 80
- A. E. Litvinov, V. Yu. Buzko, S. N. Ivanin, M. V. Kolodin.* Properties of protective carbon films applied on stainless steels via magnetron sputtering ..... 86
- E. I. Pryakhin, V. A. Azarov.* Comparative analysis of the use of epoxy and fluoroplastic polymer compositions as internal smooth coatings of the inner cavity of steel main gas pipelines ..... 93

## **Ecology and Recycling**

- Hein Thu Aung, A. V. Kolesnikov, Yu. M. Averina, V. V. Chelnokov.* Electroflotation extraction of a heavy metal hydroxide mixture from a multi-component solution ..... 99
- N. K. Dosmukhamedov, G. M. Koishina, E. E. Zholdasbay, M. B. Kurmanseitov.* Lead extraction in low-temperature dust roasting during metal scrap melting in electric arc furnace together with ammonium chloride ..... 104

# The influence of parameters of slag conditions on blast furnace smelting performance and cast iron quality

*A. N. Shapovalov, Cand. Eng., Associate Prof., Deputy Director on Innovations and Development<sup>1</sup>, e-mail: alshapo@yandex.ru;*

*R. R. Dema, Dr. Eng., Associate Prof., Dept. of Machines and Technologies for Metal Processing and Machine-Building<sup>2</sup>, Prof., Dept. of Metallurgical Technologies and Equipment<sup>1</sup>, e-mail: demarr78@mail.ru;*

*R. N. Amirov, Cand. Eng., Associate Prof., Dept. of Machines and Technologies for Metal Processing and Machine-Building<sup>2</sup>;*

*O. R. Latypov, Cand. Eng., Associate Prof., Dept. of Machines and Technologies for Metal Processing and Machine-Building<sup>2</sup>, e-mail: latolegraf@list.ru*

<sup>1</sup> National University of Science and Technology MISIS, Novotroitsk Affiliate (Novotroitsk, Russia)

<sup>2</sup> Nosov Magnitogorsk State Technical University (Magnitogorsk, Russia)

The analysis of production data on the operation of the blast furnace No. 2 for the period from 2021 to 2023 is carried out. The results of the influence of parameters of slag conditions on the technical and economic indicators (TEI) of blast furnace operation and the quality of cast iron are presented. It was found that when analyzing the performance indicators of the blast furnace operation and the results of desulfurization under conditions of instability of the composition of charge and slag, it is necessary to use the indicator of the total or complete slag basicity, which gives more objective results, unlike simple basicity. It is shown that the slag procedure should be characterized by a minimal basicity that ensures achievement of a specified cast iron quality, in order to achieve the best TEI of the blast furnace. To improve the conditions and results of metal desulfurization, it is advisable to adjust the slag composition towards increasing the MgO content to 9–10 % while reducing the level of simple slag basicity to 0.95 units and maintaining the value of the total basicity at the level of 1.16–1.18 units. In addition, it is expedient to ensure that the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$  ratio is maintained at the level of 0.2–0.25 units. When maintaining the proposed slag conditions, in addition to improving the conditions and results of desulfurization, a decrease in slag amount can be expected. This, together with the improvement of the slag physical properties (viscosity and fluidity), will reduce coke consumption and provide conditions for increasing the blast furnace productivity.

**Key words:** blast furnace smelting, productivity, coke consumption, hot metal desulfurization, sulfur distribution coefficient, parameters of slag conditions, slag basicity.

**DOI:** 10.17580/cisirs.2024.02.03

## Introduction

Despite active development of alternative technologies of steel production, more than 90 % of steel products is manufactured at present time via the classical route, using blast furnace practice. The stable positions of blast furnace technology in the structure of steel production are caused by well-known advantages of blast furnace melting, such as high degree of used heat and chemical energy as well as efficiency of metal desulfurization [1–3]. At the same time, blast furnace stage, including coke making and ore preparation for melting, is the main consumer of energy resources and the main source of emission of contaminants in the iron and steel industry [4–6].

In this connection, integrated metallurgical works worldwide pay the most attention to the problems of energy saving and decrease of emission of greenhouse gases, what is espe-

cially actual taking into account the global trend for “carbon footprint” lowering [6–9].

Decrease of coke consumption is considered as one of the main directions for achieving energy efficiency and carbon capacity of blast furnace melting as well as lowering of emission of contaminants. This problem can be solved as a result of quality improvement of charge materials, as well as optimization of blast furnace equipment and technology [10–15]. Improvement of slag conditions is also one of the directions of blast furnace melting efficiency rise, providing both decrease of coke consumption and improvement of cast iron quality [16–18].

Slag is an accompanying product in each metallurgical process. From this point of view, it should provide minimal negative influence of the technical and economic indicators (TEI) of blast furnace practice; in this case, slag amount should be minimal and its properties (such as viscosity and

melting temperature) should provide its high fluidity and minimal resistance to passage of gases [17, 18]. From other side, slag makes the main work for cast iron desulfurization in a blast furnace and sufficient slag amount is required for this purpose, as well as its high sulfide capacity [19–21], which increases with basicity rise.

Despite multiple researches devoted to this theme [16–21], the problem of improvement of slag conditions during blast furnace melting remains actual because the optimal slag parameters are determined by special charge operating conditions in blast furnaces. Thereby the problem of slag conditions optimization during blast furnace melting was solved in this research on the example of the blast furnace No. 2 of JSC “Ural Steel” with working volume 1,232 m<sup>3</sup>.

#### The research object and initial data

To consider the influence of slag procedure on technical and economic indicators of a blast furnace melting, the results of blast furnace No. 2 operation during 2021–2023 are analyzed. This period is characterized by furnace operation with relatively permanent charge, while the periods of preventive and predictive maintenance works were excluded. The average parameters of the furnace operation and controlled indicators of the slag procedure are presented in the **Table 1**.

#### Results and discussion

The above-presented data testify that the main furnace indicators varied essentially during the examined period. So, productivity changed within the range from 1797,1 to 2203.5 t/day with the average value 2012.5 t/day, while specific coke consumption changed from 401.0 to 457.7 kg/t with the average value 433.2 kg/t, what is connected with variations in operating conditions. Noted variability of the furnace operating conditions does not allow to evaluate adequately influence of the slag procedure on the furnace operating TEI. That's why influence of the slag procedure on the furnace operating TEI is evaluated by coke consumption and productivity, which were calculated for the furnace operating conditions in March 2021, taking into account [22].

Taking into account essential variation of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content in slag, use of the simple basicity indicator B of CaO/SiO<sub>2</sub> does not provide the complete information about slag properties. Thereby the indicators B1 of summarized basicity of (CaO+MgO)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and B2 of complete basicity of (CaO+MgO)/(SiO<sub>2</sub>+Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were used for further analysis. Influence of these indicators on specific coke consumption is presented in the **Fig. 1**, which testifies that higher coke consumption is required with increase of slag basicity and, respectively, its amount. At the same time, use of summarized basicity B1 for analysis of influence of the slag procedure on

**Table 1. The average parameters of the furnace operation and controlled indicators of the slag procedure**

Parameters		The value during the period	
		range	average
Actual productivity, t/day		1797.1–2203.5	2012.5
Reduced productivity*, t/day		1605.4–2301.4	1910.2
Fe content in the ore part, %		54.6–61.6	57.4
Slag output, kg/t of cast iron		304.6–394.0	343.8
The part in the charge ore part, %	Pellets	18.1–61.6	40,2
	Sinter	36.3–81.9	58,3
Ore load, t/t of coke		3.5–4.2	3.85
Blowing parameters	Consumption, m <sup>3</sup> /min	1773–2303	1984
	Pressure, MPa	0.294–0.347	0,324
	Temperature, °C	1059–1166	1118
	O <sub>2</sub> content, %	24.3–31.2	28,7
Actual coke consumption, kg/t		401.0–457.68	433.2
Reduced coke consumption, kg/t		390.3–475.6	444.2
Natural gas consumption, m <sup>3</sup> /t		102.6–177.7	132.2
Chemical composition of slag, %:	SiO <sub>2</sub>	40.4–44.9	41,9
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.7–10.0	7,8
	CaO	41.4–46.1	43,7
	MgO	4.7–7.6	5,9
	FeO	0.26–0.58	0,39
	S	0.51–0.82	0,66
Basicity B = CaO/SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.		1.002–1.098	1.058
Basicity B1 = (CaO+MgO)/SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.		1.11–1.25	1.19
Basicity B2 = (CaO+MgO)/(SiO <sub>2</sub> +Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ), un.		0.95–1.06	1.00
CaO/MgO, un.		5.45–9.38	7.49
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.		0.16–0.25	0.19
Slag output, kg/t of cast iron		325–368	343.8

\* The value is calculated for the furnace operating conditions in March 2021




Fig. 1. Influence of summarized B1 (a) and complete B2 (b) basicity on the reduced coke consumption

coke consumption provides more adequate regression equation, with determination ratio 0.34.

Influence of slag basicity indicators on productivity is presented in the Fig. 2. Lowering of productivity with rise of basicity is connected both with increase of slag amount and decrease of Fe amount in charge and with deterioration of gas dynamic conditions of blast furnace melting. In the same way as with coke consumption, the indicator B1 of summarized basicity describes influence of basicity on productivity with higher reliability.

Thus, slag basicity, which is adjusted via varying sinter basicity and relationship of sinter and pellets in charge, determines slag output and, respectively, provides influence on

productivity and coke consumption. So, from the point of view of achieving high technical and economic indicators of blast furnace operation, slag procedure should be characterized by minimal basicity.

However, when choosing the slag basicity level, it should be taken into account that main positive slag work in the blast furnace practice concludes in cast iron desulfurization. For this purpose, both sufficient slag amount and its high sulfide capacity are required. Desulfurization with in a blast furnace is evaluated by sulfur content in cast iron, sulfur distribution coefficient (Ls) and desulfurization degree.

Influence of the simple basicity indicator (B) on desulfurization results is presented in the Fig. 3, which displays that




Fig. 2. Influence of summarized B1 (a) and complete B2 (b) basicity on the reduced productivity




Fig. 3. Influence of simple basicity (B) on the sulfur distribution coefficient (a) and desulfurization degree (b)




Fig. 4. Influence of summarized basicity (B1) on the sulfur distribution coefficient (a) and desulfurization degree (b)

the simple basicity indicator has no influence on cast iron desulfurization degree, while sulfur distribution coefficient decreases with increase of the B1 indicator.

However, this anomalous result is evidently connected with insufficient efficiency of the simple basicity indicator (B), which takes into account composition and sulfide slag capacity not completely. Use of the summarized basicity (B1) for evaluation of desulfurization results is presented in the Fig. 4. It provides more adequate picture, which corresponds to the theory of sulfur behaviour in a blast furnace and during blast furnace practice.

Thus, use of the simple basicity indicator (B) provides distorted results during analysis of the results of blast melting both by main parameters and desulfurization results in the conditions of unstable slag composition. It is expedient to apply the summarized (B1) or complete (B2) slag basicity in such conditions.

Summarized slag basicity as an integral indicator, which characterizes sulfide capacity, can be risen both as a result of increase of CaO and MgO content. It is known that CaO is more strong oxide in comparison with MgO; however, rise of CaO content increases melting temperature and viscosity of blast furnace slags, what in its turn deteriorates desulfurization conditions. At the same time, rise of MgO content in

slag up to 10–12 % increases fluidity and stability of blast furnace slags [23–25] and supports improvement of desulfurization conditions and results. More important role of MgO in desulfurization results comparing with CaO is confirmed by influence of CaO/MgO relation; its decrease leads to improvement of the results (Fig. 5).

So, from the point of view of improving the conditions and results of metal desulfurization, it is expedient to correct slag composition, meaning rising MgO content up to 8–10 % with saving the value of summarized basicity (B1) at the level 1.16–1.18 un. For this purpose it is recommended to rise MgO content in sinter [26–28] with simultaneous correction of CaO addition with charge as a result of lowering sinter basicity in relation to CaO/SiO<sub>2</sub> or increase of the part of pellets. To keep the value of summarized basicity at the level 1.16–1.18 un. with MgO content up to 8–10 % and current silica content in slag at the level 41–42 %, it is necessary to decrease CaO content from the current 43–44 % to 39–40 %, with achieving the level of simple slag basicity (B) to 0.95 un. This level provides lowered melting temperature and viscosity of slag [20–25].

Besides MgO, the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> relation in slag provides substantial influence on slag physical properties, i.e. on its viscosity and melting temperature (Fig. 6).




Fig. 5. Influence of CaO/MgO relation on the sulfur distribution coefficient (a) and desulfurization degree (b)






Fig. 6. Influence of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$  relation in slag on the sulfur distribution coefficient (a) and desulfurization degree (b)

The data presented in the Fig. 6 testify that increase of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$  relation from 0.15 to 0.25 un. improves desulfurization results, thereby  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$  relation equal to 0.20–0.25 un. can be considered as a favourable one for the examined charge conditions. In order to obtain slag with such  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$  relation at the current silica content 41–42 %, it is required to provide alumina content in slag at the level 9–10 %. Positive influence just of such level of alumina content in slag was noted also in the technical literature [25, 29, 30].

Current and rational indicators of the slag procedure, which provide improvement of TEI for the furnace operation and cast iron quality, are presented in the **Table 2**.

When keeping the suggested slag procedure, we can wait for decrease of slag amount, in addition to improvement of the conditions and results of desulfurization. In general, it allows to decrease coke consumption and provide the conditions for the blast furnace productivity rise, together with improvement of slag physical properties (viscosity and fluidity).

### Conclusion

The results of analysis of production data of the blast furnace No. 2 operation during the period 2021–2023 displayed that the slag procedure should be characterized by minimal basicity, providing achievement of the preset quality of cast iron, from the point of view of obtaining the optimal TEI of blast furnace operation.

To improve the conditions and results of metal desulfurization, it is expedient to correct slag composition directed to increase MgO content up to 9–10 % with lowering the level of simple slag basicity to 0.95 un. and saving the value of summarized basicity at the level 1.16–1.18 un. Additionally, it is expedient to provide  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$  relation at the level 0.20–0.25 un. When keeping the suggested slag procedure, we can wait decrease of slag amount in addition to improvement of the conditions and results of desulfurization. Together with improvement of slag physical properties (viscosity and fluidity), it allows to decrease coke consumption and provides conditions for blast furnace productivity rise.

Table 2. Current and rational indicators of the slag procedure

Indicator	Examined period	Optimal values
Slag procedure		
CaO, %	41.4–46.1 / 43.7	39–40
SiO <sub>2</sub> , %	40.4–44.9 / 41.9	41–42
MgO, %	4.7–7.6 / 5.9	9–10
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , %	6.7–10.0 / 7.8	9–10
CaO/MgO, un.	5.45–9.38 / 7.49	4.5
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.	0.16–0.25 / 0.19	0.20–0.25
Basicity B = CaO/SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.	1.0–1.1 / 1.06	0.95
Basicity B1 = (CaO+MgO)/SiO <sub>2</sub> , un.	1.11–1.25 / 1.19	1.16–1.18
Basicity B2 = (CaO+MgO)/(SiO <sub>2</sub> +Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ), un.	0.95–1.06 / 1.00	0.96
Slag output, kg/t	325–368 / 343.8	320–340 / 330
Technical and economic indicators		
Furnace productivity, t/day	1797.1–2203.5 / 2012.5	2250
Coke consumption, kg/t	401.0–457.7 / 433.2	420
Sulfur content [S], %	0.015–0.024 / 0.019	0.014–0.016

Besides the above-mentioned recommendations, directed on optimization of the slag procedure, it is necessary to use the indicators of summarized or complete basicity during analysis of blast furnace operating parameters and results of desulfurization in the conditions of instability of charge and slag composition. These indicators provide more objective results, otherwise simple basicity. CS

**The work was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Higher Education of Russian Federation (project No. FZRU-2023-0008) and the Russian Science Foundation, grant No. 23-79-30015 (Agreement dated 13.04.2023, <http://rscf.ru/project/23-79-30015/>)**

## REFERENCES

- Kurunov I. F. The blast-furnace process – is there any alternative? *Metallurgist*. 2012. Vol. 56 (3–4). pp. 241–246.
- Smith M. Blast furnace ironmaking: view on future developments. *Ironmaking & Steelmaking*. 2015. Vol. 42 (10). pp. 734–742. DOI: 10.1179/0301923315Z.000000000422.
- Lüngen H. B., Schmöle P. Comparative operating parameters of blast furnaces worldwide. *Chernye Metally*. 2019. No. 3. pp. 13–18.
- Li X.-L., Sun Wenqiang, Zhao Liang, Cai J.-J. Energy consumption and smoke and dust emissions analysis of typical iron and steel production enterprise. *Journal of Northwestern University (Natural Science)*. 2016. Vol. 37. pp. 352–356. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005–3026.2016.03.011.
- Xu H. L., Pan G. Y., Shao Y. J. et al. Analysis of energy consumption evaluation indicator for iron and steel production. *Energy Metall Ind.* 2017. Vol. 36 (2). pp. 3–7.
- Ariyama T., Sato M., Nouchi T., Takahashi K. Evolution of Blast Furnace Process toward Reductant Flexibility and Carbon Dioxide Mitigation in Steel Works. *ISIJ International*. 2016. Vol. 56. No. 10, pp. 1681–1696.
- Babich A. Blast furnace injection for minimizing the coke rate and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Ironmaking & Steelmaking*. 2021. Vol. 48 (6). pp. 728–741.
- Zhuogang Pang, Jiajia Bu, Yaqiang Yuan et al. The Low-Carbon Production of Iron and Steel Industry Transition Process in China. *Steel Research International*. 2024. Vol. 95. No. 3. pp. 2300500. DOI: 10.1002/srin.202300500.
- Perpiñán J., Peña B., Bailera M. et al. Integration of carbon capture technologies in blast furnace based steel making: A comprehensive and systematic review. *Fuel*. 2023. Vol. 336. pp. 127074.
- Tovarovskii I. G., Merkulov A. E. Blast-furnace smelting with coal-dust injection. *Steel in Translation*. 2012. Vol. 42. pp. 28–40. DOI: 10.3103/S0967091212010202.
- Wang P., Li J. X., Zhou L. Y., Long H. M. Theoretical and experimental investigation of oxygen blast furnace process with high injection of hydrogenous fuel. *Ironmaking & Steelmaking*. 2013. Vol. 40 (4). pp. 312–317.
- Takahashi K., Nouchi T., Sato M., Ariyama T. Perspective on Progressive Development of Oxygen Blast Furnace for Energy Saving. *ISIJ International*. 2015. Vol. 55. Iss. 9. pp. 1866–1875.
- Yu-zhu Pan, Hai-bin Zuo, Jing-song Wang et al. Review on improving gas permeability of blast furnace. *Journal of Iron and Steel Research International*. 2020. Vol. 27. No. 2. p. 121.
- Rahmatmand B., Tahmasebi A., Lomas H. et al. A technical review on coke rate and quality in low-carbon blast furnace iron-making. *Fuel*. 2023. Vol. 336. p. 127077.
- Schott R.. Experience of Kuettner in the field of new blast furnace injection technologies. *Chernye Metally*. 2016. No. 8. pp. 15–24.
- Xiaodong Ma, Mao Chen, Jinming Zhu, Haifa Xu, Geoff Wang, Baojun Zhao. Properties of Low-MgO Ironmaking Blast Furnace Slags. *ISIJ International*. 2018. Vol. 58. Iss. 8. pp. 1402–1405.
- Shatokha V. Slag parameters and sulphur partition in blast furnace hearth: Ukrainian case and international comparison. *Ironmaking & Steelmaking*. 2022. Vol. 49 (1). pp. 60–69. DOI: 10.1080/03019233.2021.1966265.
- Sahoo M., Hazra S., Kumar B. et al. Optimisation of slag composition (MgO and Basicity) to operate 20% alumina in Blast Furnace slag. *Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy*. 2024. Vol. 133 (1–2). pp. 42–48. DOI: 10.1177/25726641241250146.
- Shapovalov A. N., Kropotov V. K. Optimum sulfur content of pig iron for desulfurization outside the furnace. *Metallurgist*. 1997. Vol. 41. p. 384.
- Ma X., Chen M., Xu H., Zhu J., Wang G., Zhao B. Sulphide capacity of CaO–SiO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–MgO system relevant to low MgO blast furnace slags. *ISIJ International*. 2016. Vol. 12. pp. 2126–2131.
- Condo A. F. T., Qifeng S., Sichen D. Sulfide capacities in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–CaO–MgO–SiO<sub>2</sub> system. *Steel Research Int.* 2018. Vol. 89. No. 8. 1800061. DOI: 10.1002/srin.201800061.
- Iron metallurgy: textbook for universities. 3rd edition revised and supplemented. Edited by Yusfin Yu. S. Moscow: IKTs “Akademkniga”. 2004. 774 p.
- Fengman Shen, Xin Jiang, Gangsheng Wu, Guo Wei, Xiaogang Li, Yansong Shen. Proper MgO Addition in Blast Furnace Operation. *ISIJ International*. 2006. Vol. 46. Iss. 1. pp. 65–69.
- Li T., Zhao C., Sun C. et al. Roles of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in Viscous and Structural Behavior of Blast Furnace Primary Slag with C/S = 1.4. *Metall. Mater. Trans. B 51*. 2020. pp. 2724–2734. DOI: 10.1007/s11663-020-01980-z.
- Yao L., Ren S., Wang X. et al. Effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, and CaO/SiO<sub>2</sub> on viscosity of high alumina blast furnace slag. *Steel Research International*. 2016. Vol. 87. No. 2. pp. 241–249.
- Ovchinnikova E. V., Gorbunov V. B., Shapovalov A. N., Maistrenko N. A., Bersenev I. S. Magnesia Sinter with Flux Based on Magnesium Silicate. *Steel in Translation*. 2018. Vol. 48. No. 1. pp. 34–38.
- Shapovalov A. N., Ovchinnikova E. V., Maistrenko N. A. Effect of the type of magnesia materials on the sintering process indicators at JSC «Ural Steel». *Chernye Metally*. 2018. No. 11. pp. 38–42.
- Shapovalov A. N., Ovchinnikova E. V., Gorbunov V. B., Dema R. R., Kalugina O. B. The effect of the composition of magnesia flux on the sinter structure and properties. *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 2019. Vol. 625. 012009.
- Sunahara K., Nakano K., Hoshi M., Inada T., Komatsu S., Yamamoto T. Effect of high Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> slag on the blast furnace operations. *ISIJ International*. 2008. Vol. 48. pp. 3420–3429.
- Jinfa Liao, Gele Qing, Baojun Zhao. Phase Equilibria Studies in the CaO–MgO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> System with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> Weight Ratio of 0.4. *Metals*. 2023. Vol. 13 (2). p. 224. DOI: 10.3390/met13020224.